SUPREME COURT, U. S.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1970.

No.

MICHAEL HAYNEY & CHARLES HAMPTON,
Petitioners,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY,
Respondent.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY, APPELLATE DIVISION.

JOSEPH W. CLARKE, JR.,
272 Kings Highway East,
Haddonfield, New Jersey 08033,
Counsel for Petitioners

Huntzinger Printing Corp., *Appellate Printers*, Camden, N. J.

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF PETITION

	PAGE
Opinion Below	2
Jurisdiction	2
Constitutional Provisions and Statute Involved	3
Questions Presented	4
Statement of the Case	5
Argument	8
I. The Petitioners' Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment Rights Were Violated by the Issuance and Execution of Search Warrants Without Facts Sufficient to Show Probable Cause of Illegal Activity	8
II. The Petitioners' Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment Rights Were Violated by the Seizure and Use in Evidence of Property Not Particularly Described in the Search Warrants	12
III. The Petitioners' Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment Rights Were Violated by the Search and Seizure of Telephone Communications Beyond the Scope of the Search Warrants and Without Lawful Authority	13
IV. The Petitioners' Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment Rights Were Violated by the Use of Indirect Testimony of Persons Not Present in Court	15
Conclusion	16

PAGE

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF APPENDIX

Application for Search Warrant (R. S. 2A:112-3) 17

Application for Search Warrant (R. S. 2A:121-1 & 3) 19

Affidavit for Search Warrant 20

Search Warrant (2A:112-3) 24

Search Warrant (2A:121-1 & 3) 25

Oral Opinion Denying Motion to Suppress Evidence ... 27

Opinion of the Superior Court of New Jersey, Appellate Division 32

Order of the Supreme Court of New Jersey Denying Petition 37

TABLE OF CITATIONS

CASES:

Aguilar vs. Texas, 378 U. S. 108 (1964) 9, 10, 11

Berger vs. New York, 388 U. S. 41 (1967) 14

Boyd vs. United States, 116 U. S. 616 (1886) 13

Katz vs. United States, 389 U. S. 347 (1967) 14

Lee vs. Florida, 392 U. S. 378 (1968) 15

Mapp vs. Ohio, 367 U. S. 643 (1961) 9, 11

Marron vs. United States, 275 U. S. 192 (1927) 12

Nathanson vs. United States, 290 U. S. 41 (1933) 9

Rathbun vs. United States, 355 U. S. 107 (1957) 15

Spinelli vs. United States, 393 U. S. 410 (1969) ..9, 10, 11

Stanford vs. Texas, 379 U. S. 476 (1965) 13

CONSTITUTION:

Fourth Amendment, United States Constitution ..3, 5, 6, 8, 12, 13, 14

Sixth Amendment, United States Constitution ...3, 5, 15

Fourteenth Amendment, United States Constitution 3, 5, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15

STATUTES:

18 U. S. C. §2518 14

28 U. S. C. §1257(3) 2

47 U. S. C. §605 ..... 3, 14

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
N. J. S. 2A:112-3	6, 12
N. J. S. 2A:121-1	12
N. J. S. 2A:121-3	12

OTHER AUTHORITIES:

Annotation, Admissibility of Evidence of Fact of Making or Receiving Telephone Calls, 13 A. L. R. 2d 1409	16
Rule 63, Uniform Rules of Evidence	15
6 Wigmore, Evidence (3rd Ed.) 1766	16

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1970

No.

MICHAEL HAYNEY & CHARLES HAMPTON,
Petitioners,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY,
Respondent.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY, APPELLATE DIVISION.